Working of DTC

1250. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total budget allocation made by the Central Government for the Delhi Transport Corporation for year 1986-87:

(b) what was loss sustained by the Delhi Transport Corporation during the financial year 1985-86; and

(c) whether it is a fact that an additional burden of expenditure on the Delhi Transport Corporation will be due to the increase in the excise duty on buses during the Seventh Five Year Plan period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) The budgetary allocation approved by the Government for the DTC for the year 1986-87 for 'Plan' and 'Non-Plan' expenditure is given hereunder:-

(Rs. in crores)

	Plan (Capital Expenditure)	Non-Plan (Ways and Means Loans)	Total Plan and Non-Plan
For Buses	16·08	..	16·08
For Infrastructural facilities	2·00	..	2·00
For meeting working loss	—	10·00	10·00
	18·08	10·00	28·08

(b) During the year 1985-86, DTC suffered a net loss of Rs. 178.13 crores as per details given below:—

(Rs. in crores)

(i)	Working loss	77 23
(ii)	Interest charges including penal interest charges	89·32
(iii)	Depreciation	11·58
	Net Loss :	178·13

(c) During the Seventh Plan the Corporation has a programme for purchase of 2825 buses (1707 on additions account and 1118 on replacement account). Taking into account the impact of the recently imposed excise duty of Rs. 8000/- per bus, the total additional expenditure is estimated to be Rs. 2.26 crores.

Reopening of a case after decade in DTC

1251. SHRI NATHA SINGH: Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a scheduled caste employee promoted to the post of

Chief Store Keeper in May, 1984 was reverted to the post of Store Keeper in May, 1985, violating the instructions already issued by the Chairman, Delhi Transport Corporation in April, 1983 to the effect that the employees once promoted may not be reverted;

(b) whether it is also a fact that while reverting him to the lower post after rendering one year's service the case of 1974 of shortage of 11 dynomos was reopened which it was already decided and closed in 1975 after proving that some false entries were made in the bill later on by someone to harm him; and

(c) if so, the reasons for reopening the past case in 1985 after ten years and reverting him to lower post violating the instructions of Chairman?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI RAJESH PILOT) (a) One Scheduled Caste employee of the D.T.C. was reverted from the post of Chief Store Keeper to his substantive post of Store Keeper after he had rendered a year's service because his work during the officiating period was rated below average by his superiors. This was not in violation of any instructions of the Chairman-cum-Managing Director on the subject as on such instructions exist.

Certain instructions, regarding cases where reversion may be contemplated during the pendency of a disciplinary case do exist but these are not applicable in the instant case.

(b) No, Sir

(c) Does not arise.

Contracts earned by IRCON

1252. SHRI M. KADHARSHA:

SHRIMATI SUDHA VIJAY JOSHI:

Will the Minister of TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of contracts earned by the India Railway Construction Company during the last three years; and

(b) what is the number of contracts fully executed by the Indian Railway Construction Company and what are the details of profits earned therefrom, both in foreign exchange and Indian currency?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT of RAILWAYS (SHRI MADHAVRAO SCINDIA): (a) IRCON have during 1983-84, 1984-85 and 1985-86, secured 24 contracts.

(b) The Company has since inception in 1976 fully executed 16 contracts in India and 5 contracts abroad earning a total profit of Rs. 283 lakhs approximately out of which Rs. 123 lakhs were in foreign exchange.

मैसर्स प्योर ड्रिंक्स द्वारा दिल्ली में रेलवे की भूमि पर कब्जा

1253. **श्री हुक्मदेव नारायण यादव** : क्या परिवहन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि

(क) क्या यह सच है कि मैसर्स प्योर ड्रिंक्स के प्रबन्धकों ने दिल्ली में रेलवे की जमीन पर अवैध कब्जा कर लिया है तथा उस भूमि पर अपनी फैक्ट्री बना ली है,

(ख) यदि हां, तो उन्होंने कितनी भूमि पर कब से अवैध कब्जा कर रखा है ;

(ग) उन पर कितना रुपया बाकी है तथा उसे वसूल करने के लिए सरकार ने अब तक क्या कार्यवाही की है; और

(घ) उस अनधिकृत कब्जे को हटाने के लिए सरकार द्वारा कार्यवाही न किये जाने के क्या कारण है ?

रेल विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्री माधवराव सिन्धिया): (क) रेलवे भूमि मैसर्स ओरियंटल बिल्डिंग एण्ड फर्निशिंग कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, नयी दिल्ली के अनधिकृत कब्जे में है (जिसका मैसर्स प्योर ड्रिंक्स (प्रा) लिमिटेड एक सहयोगी प्रतिष्ठान है) ।

(ख) क्षेत्रफल-2743 वर्ग गज
अनधिकृत कब्जा 1.1.1973 से है ।